## **EVENT VIOLATION INSPECTOR'S STATEMENT** MINERALS REGULATORYPROGAM

Company/Mine: Alpine Gems & Minerals/ Paul Lamoreaux CO # MC-2005-02-05(1) Violation # 1 of 1 Permit #: M/025/016

## SEI

SERIOUSN	<u>ESS</u>	
1.	What type of event is applicable to the regulation cited? Refer to the DOGM reference list of event below and remember that <b>the event is NOT the same as the violation</b> . Mark and explain each event.	
	<ul> <li>a. Activity outside the approved permit area.</li> <li>b. Injury to the public (public safety).</li> <li>c. Damage to property.</li> <li>d. Conducting activities without appropriate approvals.</li> <li>e. Environmental harm.</li> <li>f. Water pollution.</li> <li>g. Loss of reclamation/revegetation potential.</li> <li>h. Reduced establishment, diverse and effective vegetative cover.</li> <li>i. No event occurred as a result of the violation.</li> <li>j. Other.</li> </ul>	
Explanation: his small min	The operator has expanded his disturbance beyond the five acre limit described in e permit.	
2.	Has the event or damage occurred? Yes  If yes, describe it. If no, what would cause it to occur and what is the probability of the event(s) occurring? (None, Unlikely, Likely).	
Explanation:	The operator has expanded his small mine disturbance to 8.14 acres.	
3. 4.	Did any damage occur as a result of the violation? Yes	

If yes, describe the duration and extent of the damage or impact. How much damage may have occurred if the violation had not bee discovered by a DOGM inspector? Describe this potential damage and whether or not it would extend off the disturbed and/or permit area.

Explanation: The operator presently is operating two quarries within the 2 mile limit, the total disturbance at these two sites exceeds the five acre limit of his small mine permit.

← MC-2005-02-05(1)

Explanation: Was any economic benefit gained by the operator for failure to comply? If yes explain.

warning or enforcement action taken.

Has DOGM cited the violation in the past? If so, give the dates and the type of

Event Violation Inspector's Standard	C , M <u>C-2005-02-05(1)</u> Violation # <u>1</u> of	1
Explanation:		
GOOD FAITH		
must have been abated before th	r compliance with an NOV or CO, the violatine abatement deadline. If you think this appliance achieved (give date) and describe the comply as rapidly as possible.	
Explanation: The operator is presently the extent of the disturbance.	performing his own survey of the site to asce	<u>ertain</u>
2. Explain whether or not the oper compliance.	ator had the necessary resources on site to ac	hieve
Explanation: The operator presently har required.	s equipment onsite to perform any reclamation	<u>on</u>
Was the submission of plans pri CO? If yes, explain.	or to physical activity required by this NOV	1
Explanation:		
Doug Jensen Authorized Representative  Assignature	September 13, 200 Date	05